

Officers

Chair

Donald C. Wood
Federal Realty Investment Trust

President and CEO

Steven A. Wechsler

First Vice Chair

W. Edward Walter
Host Hotels & Resorts, Inc.

Second Vice Chair

Ronald L. Havner, Jr.
Public Storage, Inc.

Treasurer


Michael D. Fascitelli
Vornado Realty Trust

2012 NAREIT Executive Board

Jon E. Bortz
Pebblebrook Hotel Trust
Debra A. Cafaro
Ventas, Inc.
Richard J. Campo
Camden Property Trust
Richard B. Clark
Brookfield Office Properties
Michael A. J. Farrell
Annaly Capital Management, Inc.
Edward J. Fritsch
Highwoods Properties, Inc.
Rick R. Holley
Plum Creek Timber Company, Inc.
David J. Neithercut
Equity Residential
Steven B. Tanger
Tanger Factory Outlet Centers, Inc.
Robert S. Taubman
Taubman Centers, Inc.
Thomas W. Toomey
UDR, Inc.

2012 NAREIT Board of Governors

Michael D. Barnello
LaSalle Hotel Properties
Kenneth F. Bernstein
Acadia Realty Trust
Bruce W. Duncan
First Industrial Realty Trust
James F. Flaherty, III
HCP, Inc.
Michael F. Foust
Digital Realty
Daniel S. Fulton
Weyerhaeuser
Lawrence L. Gellerstedt, III
Cousins Properties Incorporated
Michael P. Glimcher
Glimcher Realty Trust
Jonathan D. Gray
Blackstone Real Estate Advisors
Randall M. Griffin
Corporate Office Properties Trust
William P. Hankowsky
Liberty Property Trust
Philip L. Hawkins
DCT Industrial Trust, Inc.
Thomas P. Heneghan
Equity Lifestyle Properties, Inc.
David B. Henry
Kimco Realty Corporation
Daniel B. Hurwitz
DDR Corp.
Andrew F. Jacobs
Capstead Mortgage Corporation
Thomas H. Lowder
Colonial Properties Trust
Peter S. Lowy
The Westfield Group
Craig Macnab
National Retail Properties, Inc.
Joel S. Marcus
Alexandria Real Estate Equities, Inc.
Sandeep Mathrani
General Growth Properties
George F. McKenzie
Washington REIT
Timothy J. Naughton
AvalonBay Communities, Inc.
Dennis D. Oklak
Duke Realty Corporation
Jeffrey S. Olson
Equity One, Inc.
Joseph D. Russell, Jr.
PS Business Parks, Inc.
Richard B. Saltzman
Colony Financial, Inc.
David P. Stockert
Post Properties, Inc.
Gerard H. Sweeney
Brandywine Realty Trust
Mark E. Zalatoris
Inland Real Estate Corporation
Mortimer B. Zuckerman
Boston Properties, Inc.



NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUSTS®

February 10, 2012

VIA E-MAIL

tony.linehan@hmrc.gsi.gov.uk

Tony Linehan, Esq.
HM Revenue & Customs
100 Parliament Street
London, SW1A 2BQ
United Kingdom

Re: U.K. Real Estate Investment Trusts Discussion Paper

Dear Mr. Linehan:

The National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts® (NAREIT) greatly appreciates the opportunity to provide its comments in connection with the draft clause of the Finance Bill 2012 (the “Draft Bill”) concerning the proposed changes to the current United Kingdom real estate investment trust (REIT) provisions.¹ In particular, NAREIT recommends that a U.S. REIT be considered an “institutional investor” for purposes of the proposed modifications to the U.K. REIT “close company” provisions.

NAREIT is the worldwide representative voice for REITs and publicly traded real estate companies with an interest in U.S. real estate and capital markets. NAREIT's members are REITs and other businesses throughout the world that own, operate, and finance income-producing real estate, as well as those firms and individuals who advise, study, and service those businesses.

As an initial point, NAREIT once again applauds the U.K. Government for its willingness to update and modify the U.K. REIT regime in order to encourage investment and stimulate the construction industry. We note that on July 14, 2004, May 7, 2005, and January 26, 2006, we submitted comments in connection with the development of the U.K. REIT regime, and we appreciate the opportunity to continue to provide our comments. Because our comments relate to both U.S. REITs and U.K. REITs, we refer to the respective country's REITs as either a “U.K. REIT” or “U.S. REIT” in the discussion below.

¹ <http://www.hmrc.gov.uk/budget-updates/06dec11/old.pdf>



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Under current law, a U.K. REIT may not be a “close company,” as such term is defined. This requirement no doubt enables the REIT to have diverse ownership. Generally speaking, five or fewer persons may not control a REIT. As we understand the U.K. rules, current law does not typically “look through” widely held shareholders in determining whether a U.K. REIT is a close company. As a result, an entity owned by five or fewer entities would be considered a close company, and could not qualify as a U.K. REIT.

The Draft Bill would relax the current close company provisions so that the following types of “institutional investors” as defined would not make a REIT a close company: authorized unit trusts; open-ended investment companies; pensions, long-term insurance businesses; sovereign entities; and any other “persons” permitted by Treasury regulation. Because a U.S. REIT by definition may not be “closely held” (that is, five or fewer individuals may not control a U.S. REIT), a U.K. REIT’s ownership by a U.S. REIT should not cause the U.K. REIT to be considered a close company. Accordingly, NAREIT recommends that the U.K. include a U.S. REIT in the list of institutional investors whose ownership in a U.K. REIT will not cause the U.K. REIT to be considered a close company.

DISCUSSION

I. U.K. REIT: Prohibition Against “Close Company” Status

A. Background

As we understand it, an entity may not qualify as a U.K. REIT if it is a “close company.” This prohibition ensures that a U.K. REIT will have diverse ownership. Generally speaking, U.K. law defines a U.K. REIT as a close company if it has five or fewer shareholders. Further, the general close company rules that permit a “look through” of certain widely held shareholders are not applicable to U.K. REITs.

In 2011, HM Revenue & Customs (HMRC) began an informal consultation with respect to possible changes to the U.K. REIT regime.² One area with respect to which HMRC sought comments was in connection with the introduction of a diverse ownership rule for institutional investors. Based on HMRC’s posted feedback to this consultation project, “[s]takeholders were supportive of this measure on the basis that it will make investment easier for institutional investors, thereby enlarging the pool of potential investment in property. HM Treasury will take this reform forward.”³

B. Draft Finance Bill 2012

In December 2011, HMRC released the Draft Bill, which proposes that ownership in a U.K. REIT by an “institutional investor” should not, by itself, cause the U.K. REIT to be a close

² http://www.hm-treasury.gov.uk/consult_reits_measures_questions.htm

³ http://www.hm-treasury.gov.uk/consult_reits_feedback.htm

company. The term “institutional investor” would be defined narrowly to include generally one or more of the following: a) an authorized unit trust; b) an open-ended investment company; c) a pension; a long-term insurance business; d) a sovereign entity; or, e) any “person” defined as an institutional investor in yet-to-be developed Treasury regulations. Under the proposal, a U.S. REIT thus would not be considered an “institutional investor” unless the expected regulations included it as such.

II. Because U.S. REITs Must Have Diverse Ownership, NAREIT Recommends That HMRC Include U.S. REIT in the Definition of “Institutional Investor”

A. U.S. Law Requires U.S. REITs to Be Widely Held

As NAREIT has noted in its prior submissions, the U.S. Congress created the U.S. REIT structure as a way to enable investors from all walks of life to invest in professionally managed, income-producing real estate in much the same way that this type of investment was available to high net worth individuals and larger institutions. As of December 31, 2011, the equity market capitalization of listed U.S. REITs was \$450.5 billion.

Beginning with the second half of the second taxable year of the entity, a U.S. REIT must have at least 100 shareholders and may not be “closely held.” Closely held. is defined as when five or fewer individuals own more than 50% of the value of the entity’s stock,⁴ with a number of look through rules applying when determining ownership.⁵ For example, in most cases these rules require looking through a pension fund to its beneficiaries or a corporation to its shareholders in order to determine the ultimate individual shareholders of the REIT.⁶ Many REITs incorporate these legal limitations in their organizational documents as a means to insure that these limitations are satisfied. As a result of these rules, U.S. REITs tend to be widely held, and many are publicly listed.⁷ In addition to listed REITs, companies with more than \$10 million in assets whose securities are held by more than 500 owners must file annual and other periodic reports with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). As a result of these rules, both listed REITs, and a number of non-listed U.S. REITs that do file periodic and annual reports with the SEC due to the size of their ownership base and investment portfolio (listed REITs and non-listed, SEC-registered REITs, together, SEC-registered REITs) must by definition be widely-held.

B. Because of Their Required Ownership Diversity, U.S. REITs Should Be Considered Institutional Investors in Determining Whether a U.K. REIT is a Close Company

NAREIT agrees with HMRC that institutional investors should be permitted to own shares in U.K. REITs without causing those REITs to be close companies as a means to enlarging the pool of potential investment in property. The widely-held nature of U.S. REITs renders them

⁴ Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (I.R.C.) §§ 856(a)(6) and 856(h).

⁵ See I.R.C. § 544.

⁶ I.R.C. § 856(h)(3).

⁷ As of Dec. 31, 2011, 160 listed REITs had an equity market capitalization of \$451 billion.

Tony Linehan, Esq.
February 10, 2012
Page 4

comparable to the proposed definition of “institutional investor.” Because U.S. REITs are required to have a wide ownership base in order to maintain their status as REITs, NAREIT recommends that HMRC consider U.S. REITs to be similar to institutional investors with respect to the ownership rules applicable to U.K. REITs.

Accordingly, NAREIT recommends either that HMRC expand the list of categories describing “institutional investors” to include a U.S. REIT, or that the regulations to be promulgated expand the definition of “institutional investor” include a U.S. REIT. At the very least, NAREIT recommends that HMRC consider the term “institutional investor” as including SEC-registered U.S. REITs. This change would make a substantial amount of capital available to invest in the U.K. economy.

Thank you again for the opportunity to submit these comments. We would look forward to discussing them in more detail if you believe it appropriate. Please contact me at (202) 739-9408 or tedwards@nareit.com to discuss in more detail.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Tony M. Edwards". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Tony M. Edwards
Executive Vice President and General Counsel

